

# Management's Discussion and Analysis For the three months ended March 31, 2016

The following discussion and analysis is management's assessment of the results and financial condition of Purepoint Uranium Group Inc. ("Purepoint" or the "Company") and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015, together with the related notes contained therein. The Company's most recent filings are available on the SEDAR website. The date of this management's discussion and analysis is May 19, 2016.

The interim financial statements for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 are prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standard ("IAS") 34 under IFRS.

### Forward looking statements

Certain information included in this discussion may constitute forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and various risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties could cause or contribute to actual results that are materially different than those expressed or implied. The Company disclaims any obligation or intention to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

### **Business of Purepoint**

Purepoint maintains a focused objective of locating uranium deposits in the Athabasca Basin in Northern Saskatchewan. Purepoint currently maintains seven properties located in the Athabasca Basin. The Company entered into joint venture agreements and operates one of these projects with Cameco Corporation and AREVA Resources Canada Inc., one of these projects with Cameco Corporation, while the other five projects remain 100% owned. Saskatchewan's Athabasca Basin now provides approximately 25% of the world's uranium production credited primarily to that region's unusually high ore grade deposits.

The 2016 operating plan is discussed under Exploration Activities.

### Selected quarterly information

The following selected information is derived from the audited annual and unaudited quarterly consolidated financial statements.

	Quarter ended March 31, 2016	Quarter ended December 31, 2015	Quarter ended September 30, 2015	Quarter ended June 30, 2015	Quarter ended March 31, 2015	Quarter ended December 31, 2014	Quarter ended September 30, 2014	Quarter ended June 30, 2014	Quarter ended March 31, 2014
Total revenues - interest income	ş -	ş -	\$ -	ş -	\$ -	\$ 27	\$ 187	\$ 42	\$     44
Net loss	(447,251)	(201,540)	(181,447)	(492,432)	(437,356)	(290,405)	(347,241)	(188,847)	(491,380)
Net loss per share	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Total assets	676,877	538,114	452,970	728,320	1,353,873	1,370,349	542,383	898,900	1,515,408

## **Results of operations**

The Company's operations during the three-month period ended March 31, 2016 produced a net loss of \$447,251 (2015 - \$437,356). The primary operational activity continues to be the exploration of the Company's major projects. The expenditures and levels of activity relating to the Company's projects are described in greater detail below following a brief discussion of significant changes in expense line items.

There were no new grants in the three-month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015.

Professional fees increased by \$10,325 compared to the three-month period ended March 31, 2015, primarily due to increase in legal fees due to operational activities. Salaries and benefits were comparable to the same period in 2015, as there were no fluctuations in the number of employees.

General and administration expenses were comparable to the same period in 2015.

The decrease in other taxes of \$5,140 compared to the three-month period ended March 31, 2015, is attributable to a payment on filing of Part XII. 6 tax for the renounced qualifying expenditures spent in 2014 year on 2013 year flow-through shares issuance in the first quarter of 2015.

Equipment rental and other expense recoveries covers rental of the Company's equipment and premises and recoveries of expenses related mainly to operations of joint projects. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2016 it amounted to \$370,267 (2015 - \$393,116). Decrease is mainly due to fluctuations in joint operations overall, where the company acted as a manager of a project.

Mining properties and exploration expenditures increased by \$13,658 compared to the threemonth period ended March 31, 2015 due to increase in joint project operational activities, especially drilling at Hook Lake Property - see Exploration and evaluation expenditures.

Exploration salaries and benefits decreased \$36,567 compared to the three-month period ended March 31, 2015 mainly due to fluctuations in joint operations overall. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2016 it amounted to \$138,021 (2015 - \$174,588).

### **Exploration and evaluation expenditures**

The Company incurred \$578,248 and \$564,590 in exploration and evaluation expenditures on its properties during the three-month period ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, as follows:

	2016	2015
Red Willow Property	\$ -	\$ -
Hook Lake Property Smart Lake Property	578,248	564,590 -
Umfreville Lake Property	-	-
Forsythe Lake Property	-	-
McArthur East Property	-	-

During the three-month period ended March 31, 2016, the Company carried out the following significant activities:

# HOOK LAKE PROJECT - JOINT VENTURE WITH CAMECO AND AREVA

The Company entered into a definitive joint venture agreement with Cameco Corporation and AREVA Resources Canada Inc. for the ongoing exploration of the Hook Lake uranium project in the Athabasca Basin pursuant to its option agreement with Cameco announced February 7, 2007.

Key features:

- Under the original option agreement, Purepoint acquired a 21% interest in the Hook Lake project;
- The remaining 79% of the project is owned by Cameco Corporation (39.5%) and AREVA Resources Canada Inc. (39.5%);
- Purepoint is operating the project on behalf of the Joint Venture and its partners Cameco Corporation and AREVA Resources Canada Inc.

The Hook Lake Project consists of nine claims totaling 28,598 hectares and is situated in the southwestern Athabasca Basin approximately 80 kilometers southeast of the former Cluff Lake mine. The depth to the Athabasca unconformity is very shallow, ranging from zero to 350 metres. Three prospective "corridors" have been identified on the property, each corridor being comprised of multiple EM conductors that have been confirmed by drilling to be the results of graphitic metasediments that intersect the Athabasca unconformity.

Current exploration is targeting the Patterson Lake Corridor, an emerging, world class uranium district that is attracting significant exploration investment. The Patterson Lake corridor is the same conductive trend along which the Fission Uranium Corp has been expanding their high-grade uranium discovery. Within the Hook Lake project, the Patterson Corridor displays geophysical evidence of a complex structural history and, where drill tested, the conductors have shown favourable signs of alteration and structural disruption.

# 2016 Winter Drilling Program at Hook Lake

Exploration success continued at the Spitfire Zone during 2016 with additional significant drill intercepts being returned that contained high-grade uranium mineralization. A highlight of the drill program was hole HK16-53 that intersected 10.0 metres of  $10.3\% U_3O_8$ , including 1.3 metres of 53.5%  $U_3O_8$ . Based on the promising drill results, the Hook Lake JV partners have reallocated funds towards conducting additional diamond drilling this fall. The proposed drill program will be based on the 2016 drill results that include recently received geochemical assays and a structural interpretation based on the downhole acoustic televiewer results.

The 2016 Hook Lake JV winter exploration program completed 21 drill holes for a total of 8,508 metres being drilled. Within the Spitfire area 12 holes were completed totaling 5,045 metres while 9 holes tested other conductors within the Patterson Structural Corridor totaling 3,343 metres. The Upper Spitfire zone remains open north of Hole HK16-47 (0.88%  $U_3O_8$  over 20.1 metres), south of Hole HK16-43 (4.07%  $U_3O_8$  over 3.1 metres, 1.19%  $U_3O_8$  over 4.7 metres and 0.71%  $U_3O_8$  over 7.2 metres) and up-dip of Hole HK16-53 (10.3%  $U_3O_8$  over 10.0 metres). Drilling of other conductors within the southern portion of the Patterson Corridor has provided evidence that the Hornet Zone, an area that encompasses the graphitic structure and weak uranium mineralization intersected by Holes HK13-06 and HK13-07, is related to the Spitfire graphitic structure.

# Highlights:

- Funding for a drill program at the Spitfire Zone has been approved by the Hook Lake JV Partners (AREVA Resources Canada Inc. and Cameco Corp.) to commence Fall, 2016;
- The Upper Spitfire zone (230 metres below surface) remains open around the high-grade uranium intercepts including north of Hole HK16-47 (0.88% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> over 20.1 metres), south of Hole HK16-43 (4.07% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> over 3.1 metres, 1.19% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> over 4.7 metres and 0.71% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> over 7.2 metres) and up-dip of Hole HK16-53 (10.3% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> over 10.0 metres);
- High priority exploration targets includes step-outs from the Spitfire South mineralization (HK14-09 with 0.32%  $U_3O_8$  over 6.2m) and the Lower Spitfire mineralization (HK15-27 with 12.9%  $U_3O_8$  over 0.4 metres within 2.23%  $U_3O_8$  over 2.8 metres);
- Spitfire high-grade mineralized trend remains relatively untested for an additional eight kilometers to the northeast; and
- The Hornet Zone is now considered to be related to the mineralized Spitfire graphitic structure based on drill results produced while testing other conductors within the southern portion of the Patterson Corridor.

# Spitfire Zone

Drilling of the Spitfire zone has currently defined three distinct mineralized targets, the Upper Spitfire, Lower Spitfire and Spitfire South.

The Upper Spitfire mineralization was discovered early in 2016 only 255 metres below surface with Hole HK16-37 returning 0.69% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> over 9.9 metres including 9.9% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> over 0.6 metres. Three styles of mineralization have been identified within the Upper Spitfire Zone. Most common is semi-massive uranium, locally high grade, occurring along foliation and ductile shear planes within and immediately above the primary graphitic shear zone. Fracture related mineralization, associated with hematite alteration intersected above the graphitic shear zone occurs as veins and/or breccia fault zones. The third style of mineralization occurs within a hydraulic/hydrothermal breccia as disseminations within the grey clay-rich breccia cement. The dominant orientation of the mineralization is striking 15 degrees and dipping 70 degrees SE.

The Lower Spitfire mineralization was discovered in 2015, approximately 390 metres below surface, with hole HK15-27 that returned 2.8 metres of  $2.23\% U_3O_8$  including  $12.90\% U_3O_8$  over 0.4 metres. The high-grade uranium mineralization is controlled by a semi-brittle structure that is coincident with the upper contact of a thick, strongly sheared Graphitic-pyritic Pelitic Gneiss unit. Follow-up drilling has continued to intersect mineralized intervals at the upper contact of the Graphitic shear zone, typically as scattered pitchblende grains along pitted foliation planes, with HK15-33 intersecting 6.8 metres of  $0.18\% U_3O_8$  and HK16-54 returning 1.0 metre of  $1.16\% U_3O_8$ .

Spitfire South was the initial discovery of uranium mineralization with Hole HK14-09 returning 6.2 metres of 0.32% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> from the upper contact of a graphitic shear at a depth of 200 metres below surface. The follow-up hole, HK14-11, targeted the graphitic shear up-dip of HK14-09 and returned 0.57% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> over 0.9 metres and an additional interval of 0.11% U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> over 2.0 metres. Further drilling is required in the Spitfire South area to determine the extent of the mineralization and to follow the host structure towards the Upper and Lower Spitfire mineralization located approximately 200 metres and 300 metres to the northeast, respectively.

## Hornet Zone

The Hornet Zone is now considered to be related to the mineralized Spitfire graphitic structure based on drill results produced while testing other conductors within the southern portion of the Patterson Corridor. The Hornet mineralization was discovered in 2013 by hole HK13-06 that intersected strong shearing, numerous fault zones and 138 ppm U over 2.3 metres from upper contact of a graphitic shear zone. HK13-07 was collared 400 metres south of HK13-06 and intersected shearing throughout most of its length and encountered strong hydrothermal hematite alteration at depth. Follow-up hole HK16-36 was collared 200 metres northeast of HK13-06 and intersected patchy hydrothermal hematite and weak clay alteration and three graphitic intervals, 2, 5 and 10 metres wide, with the latter graphitic zone showing brittle deformation and weak radioactivity at the upper contact. Assays are pending.

# Liquidity and capital resources

At March 31, 2016, the Company had a working capital deficit of \$78,053, compared to a surplus of \$367,771 as at December 31, 2015. The decrease is attributed to increase in joint project operational activities, especially drilling at Hook Lake Property.

The Company's sources of capital at present consist of cash on hand, exercise of options, a sale of assets, joint venture financings and public equity raise. Assuming that ongoing capital raise, operations and exploration activity are consistent with recent activity levels management believes that cash on hand, together with proceeds from financings completed subsequent to the period end, is adequate to fund ongoing operations through the next year. In order to meet future expenditures and cover administrative costs, the Company will need to raise additional financing. Although the Company has been successful in raising funds to date there can be no assurance that adequate funding will be available in the future.

### **Contractual commitments**

### **Operating leases:**

Minimum payments due under operating leases in respect of office space are set out below:

2016 -	\$ 16,689
2017 -	Nil

### Critical accounting estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial consolidated statements and reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual outcomes could differ from these estimates. The consolidated financial statements include estimates which, by their nature, are uncertain. The impacts of such estimates are pervasive throughout the consolidated financial statements, and may require accounting adjustments based on future occurrences. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimate is revised and the revision affects both current and future periods.

# Off-balance sheet arrangements

The Company had no off balance sheet arrangements as at March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

# Financial instruments and other instruments

The Company had no financial instruments other than accounts receivable, receivable from project and accounts payable and accrued liabilities as at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

# Outstanding share data

### Common Shares:

The Company has authorized an unlimited number of common shares, with no par value, of which 180,646,475 shares are issued and outstanding as of the date hereof.

Share Purchase Warrants: As of the date hereof, 39,253,513 share purchase warrants (including finder's compensation warrants) were outstanding.

# Employee Stock Options:

As of date hereof, 11,960,000 options were outstanding under the Company's stock option plan for employees, directors, officers and consultants of the Company.

On April 27, 2015 the Company granted 5,910,000 stock options at an exercise price of \$0.06 per option, vesting immediately.

# Related party transactions

The remuneration of key management of the Company for the three-month period ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 was as follows:

	2016	2015
Aggregate compensation	\$ 70,558	\$ 52,596
Share-based payments	\$ Nil	\$ Nil

The Company did not enter into any other significant related party transactions during the period.

### Subsequent event

#### Private placement

On May 5, 2016, the Company closed its non-brokered private placement for gross proceeds of \$1,995,750. The financing was transacted in three tranches with the first two tranches closing April 15, 2016 and April 28, 2016 respectively.

The Company issued 21,124,000 common share units at a price of \$0.075 per unit and 4,840,592 flow-through units at a price of \$0.085 per unit. Each common share unit consists of one common share in the capital of the Company and one common share purchase warrant. Each flow-through unit consists of one common share in the capital of the Company issued on a "flow-through" basis pursuant to the *Income Tax Act* (Canada) and one common share purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles its holder to purchase one common share in the capital of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.10 per share for a period of 36 months from the date of issuance. The closing is subject to final acceptance by the TSX Venture Exchange of the private placement.

In connection with the closing of the final tranche of the private placement, the Company paid finders' fees consisting of \$45,455 in cash and 591,080 non-transferable compensation warrants. Each compensation warrant entitles its holder to purchase one common share in the capital of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.10 per share for a period of 36 months after the closing date.

All securities issued in connection with the private placement are subject to a four-month hold period pursuant to the applicable securities laws with an expiry date of September 6, 2016.

#### **Proposed transactions**

Management periodically enters into informal discussions with prospective business partners in the normal course of business. However, management does not believe that any of these discussions constitute proposed transactions for the purpose of this report.

### Other matters

#### **Risk Factors**

Each of Purepoint's uranium properties is at a grassroots stage of exploration and development. Further development of Purepoint's current properties is contingent upon obtaining satisfactory exploration results. Mineral exploration and development involves substantial expenses and a high degree of risk, which even a combination of experience, knowledge and careful evaluation may not be able to adequately mitigate.

Chris Frostad President & Chief Executive Officer

C

Ram Ramachandran Chief Financial Officer